1 Executive Summary

Introduction, Background, and Overview of the Proposed Projects

3 4

2

5 Southern California Edison (SCE, or the applicant) filed a Petition for Modification (PFM) of California

6 Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Decision 10-08-009 (CPUC 2010a) granting SCE a Permit to

7 Construct (PTC) the Valley–Ivyglen Subtransmission Line on April 2, 2013 (SCE 2013). On May 23,

8 2014, SCE filed an Amended Petition for Modification (SCE 2014). The CPUC deemed the PFM

- 9 complete on April 28, 2015.
- 10

11 SCE filed an application (A.09-09-022) for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN)

- 12 with the CPUC on September 30, 2009, to construct the Alberhill System Project (proposed Alberhill
- 13 Project). The applicant filed an amendment to the Alberhill Project application on March 15, 2010,

14 (Application A.09-09-022, amended) and filed amended sections of the Proponent's Environmental

15 Assessment on April 11, 2011, which were deemed complete on May 26, 2011.

16

17 The CPUC is the Lead Agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for review of the

18 Valley–Ivyglen 115-kilovolt (kV) Subtransmission Project (proposed Valley–Ivyglen Project) and the

19 proposed Alberhill Project. The CPUC has prepared this Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) to

- 20 comply with CEQA.
- 21

22 This EIR includes descriptions and analysis for the Valley–Ivyglen Project and the Alberhill Project.

23 Both proposed projects would be constructed within the same right-of-way (ROW) from the intersection

of Collier Avenue and Third Street in the City of Lake Elsinore, approximately 6.5 miles northwest to the

25 proposed Alberhill Substation site. Within this ROW, the 115-kV conductor required for the proposed

Alberhill Project would be installed on the 115-kV structures constructed as part of the proposed Valley–

27 Ivyglen Project. The CPUC determined that it would be in the public's best interest to consolidate the

28 CEQA analyses for the Alberhill System Project CPCN application and the Valley–Ivyglen Project PFM

for a previously-approved PTC into a single CEQA document because the components of the proposed

30 Valley–Ivyglen Project are required for construction of the proposed Alberhill Project and the two

31 projects would be constructed during the same period. Refer to Chapter 1, "Introduction," for additional

- 32 background of both proposed projects.
- 33

The proposed Valley–Ivyglen Project would involve the construction of a new single-circuit 115-kV

35 subtransmission line¹ and fiber optic line. The proposed Alberhill Project would include a new 500/115-

36 kV substation (Alberhill Substation), new 500-kV transmission lines, new and modified 115-kV

37 subtransmission lines, and telecommunications system installations. A complete description of the

38 proposed projects and associated figures are provided in Chapter 2, "Project Description."

39

¹ *Transmission lines* are designed to operate at or above 200 kV (CPUC 1995). For the purposes of this EIR, the term *subtransmission line* refers to powerlines designed to operate at 50 to 200 kV.

2 **Objectives of the Proposed Projects**

Valley–Ivyglen Project

The CPUC developed the following objectives for the proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project:

- Serve projected electrical demand requirements in the Electrical Needs Area (ENA);
- Increase electrical reliability to ENA by providing a direct connection between the Applicant's Valley 500/115-kV Substation and Ivyglen 115/12-kV Substation; and
- Improve operational and maintenance flexibility on subtransmission lines without interruption of service.

13 Alberhill Project

- 15 The CPUC developed the following objectives for the proposed Alberhill Project:
- Relieve projected electrical demand that would exceed the operating limit of the two load-serving
 Valley South 115-kV System 500/115-kV transformers;
- Construct a new 500/115-kV substation within the ENA that provides safe and reliable electrical service pursuant to North American Electric Reliability Corporation and Western Electricity Coordinating Council standards; and
- Maintain system ties between a new 115-kV System and the Valley South 115-kV System that
 enable either of these systems to provide electricity in place of the other during maintenance,
 during emergency events, or to relieve other operational issues on one of the systems.

24

1

3 4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

14

25 Approach to Environmental Review

26

As the Lead Agency, the CPUC must determine, through the CEQA process, whether a proposed project
would result in significant impacts to the environment, and whether those impacts could be avoided,
eliminated, compensated for, or reduced to less than significant levels. This EIR will become part of the
body of evidence that the CPUC will use in deciding whether to approve SCE's application for the
Valley–Ivyglen Project and SCE's application for the Alberhill System Project.

32

The CPUC <u>received</u> public comments on this Draft EIR <u>and responses</u>. The CPUC will respond to <u>those</u> comments <u>are contained hereinon the Draft EIR</u>, <u>conduct additional analysis as necessary</u>, and modify mitigation measures as appropriate. If the CPUC approves the project<u>s</u>, CPUC staff would closely monitor the applicant's compliance with the requirements imposed by the mitigation measures <u>contained</u> in Chapter 9.

38

39 Environmental Impacts

- 40
- 41 The EIR addresses all potentially significant environmental impacts identified during the public scoping.
- 42 Table ES-1 summarizes the environment impact for each resource for each project and the mitigation
- 43 measures implemented for the impacts.

Table ES-T Summary of	Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Propose	
Resource	Valley–Ivyglen 115-kV Subtransmission Project	Alberhill System Project
Aesthetics	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Significant
	MM AES-1: Staging Area Screening	MM AES-1: Staging Area Screening
	MM AES-2: Segment VIG2 Wood Poles and Undergrounding	MM AES-3: Glare Reduction
	MM AES-3: Glare Reduction	MM AES 6: Hillside and Natural Slope Preservation
	MM AES-4: Lake Street Pole Placement and Landscaping	MM AES-7: Alberhill Substation Visual Treatments
	MM AES-5: Night Lighting during Construction	MM AES-8: Treatment of 500-kV Transmission Towers
		MM AES-9: Use wood, self-weathering, or galvanized steel poles
		MM AES-10: Undergrounding on Murrieta Road
Agriculture and Forestry	Less than Significant	Less than Significant
Resources		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Air Quality	Significant	Significant
_	MM AQ-1: Minimize NO _X and PM emissions from off-road diesel	MM AQ-1: Minimize NO _X and PM emissions from off-road diesel
	powered construction equipment	powered construction equipment
	MM AQ-2: Oxides of Nitrogen (NO _x) Credits.	MM AQ-2: Oxides of Nitrogen (NO _x) Credits.
	MM AQ-3: Dust Control Plan Additional Fugitive Dust Controls	MM AQ-3: Dust Control Plan Additional Fugitive Dust Controls
	MM AQ-4: Odor Reduction at Staging Yard VIG13	MM AQ-5: Volatile Organic Compounds Credits
Biological Resources	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant with Mitigation
	MM BR-1: Limit Construction to Designated Areas and Avoid	MM BR-1: Limit Construction to Designated Areas and Avoid
	Riparian, Aquatic, and Wetland Areas.	Riparian, Aquatic, and Wetland Areas.
	MM BR-2: Preconstruction Surveys.	MM BR-2: Preconstruction Surveys.
	MM BR-3: Biological Monitoring During Construction.	MM BR-3: Biological Monitoring During Construction.
	MM BR-4: Limit Removal of Native Vegetation Communities and	MM BR-4: Limit Removal of Native Vegetation Communities and
	Trees.	Trees.
	MM BR-5: California gnatcatcher protection measures.	MM BR-5: California gnatcatcher protection measures.
	MM BR-6: Oak tree protection measures.	MM BR-6: Oak tree protection measures.
	MM BR-7: Habitat Restoration and Revegetation Plan	MM BR-7: Habitat Restoration and Revegetation Plan
	Requirements.	Requirements.
	MM BR-8: Special Status Plant Avoidance and Mitigation	MM BR-8: Special Status Plant Avoidance and Mitigation
	Measures.	Measures.
	MM BR-9: Invasive Plant Control Measures.	MM BR-9: Invasive Plant Control Measures.
	MM BR-10: Prevent Wildlife Entrapment.	MM BR-10: Prevent Wildlife Entrapment.
	MM BR-11: Migratory Birds and Raptors Impact Reduction	MM BR-11: Migratory Birds and Raptors Impact Reduction
	Measures.	Measures.
	MM BR-12: Burrowing Owl Impact Reduction Measures.	MM BR-12: Burrowing Owl Impact Reduction Measures.
	MM BR-13: Trash Abatement.	MM BR-13: Trash Abatement.

Table ES-1 Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Projects

Table ES-1 Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Projects		
Resource	Valley–Ivyglen 115-kV Subtransmission Project	Alberhill System Project
	MM BR-14: Protection of Special Status Species on Castle and	MM BR-14: Protection of Special Status Species on Castle and
	Cooke Land.	Cooke Land.
	MM BR-15: Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) Best	MM BR-15: Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) Best
	Management Practices (BMPs).	Management Practices (BMPs).
	MM BR-18: Implementation of all Project Commitments	MM BR-16: Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Take Avoidance within Core
		Reserve.
Cultural Decourses	Lass than Cimpificant with Mitigation	MM BR-18: Implementation of all Project Commitments
Cultural Resources	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant with Mitigation
	MM CR-1a: Ensure preconstruction survey coverage of all work	MM CR-1a: Ensure preconstruction survey coverage of all work
	areas and staging areas.	areas and staging areas.
	MM CR-1b: Avoid impacts to known and undiscovered historic	MM CR-1b: Avoid impacts to known and undiscovered historic
	resources and unique archaeological resources (except for site	resources and unique archaeological resources (except for site
	P33-000714).	P33-000714).
	MM CR-2: Monitor ground disturbing activities (includes Native	MM CR-2: Monitor ground disturbing activities (includes Native
	American monitoring).	American monitoring).
	MM CR 3: Follow historic resource and unique archaeological	MM CR 3: Follow historic resource and unique archaeological
	resource discovery protocol.	resource discovery protocol.
	MM CR-4: Monitor Paleontologically Sensitive Areas.	MM CR-4: Monitor Paleontologically Sensitive Areas.
	MM CR-5: Follow Paleontological Resource Discovery Protocol.	MM CR-5: Follow Paleontological Resource Discovery Protocol.
	MM CR-6: Avoid impacts to contributing elements of P33-000714.	MM CR-7: Follow Necessary Procedures for Unanticipated
	MM CR-7: Follow Necessary Procedures for Unanticipated	Discovery of Human Remains.
	Discovery of Human Remains.	
Geology, Soils, and	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant with Mitigation
Mineral Resources	MM GE 1: Seismic Safety Training	MM GE 1: Seismic Safety Training
Greenhouse Gases	Less than Significant	Less than Significant
Hazards and Hazardous	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant with Mitigation
Materials	MM BR-15: Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) Best	MM BR-15: Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) Best
	Management Practices (BMPs).	Management Practices (BMPs).
	MM WQ-1: Blasting Plan and Best Management Practices.	MM HZ 1: Hazardous Materials Management.
	MM HZ-1: Hazardous Materials Management.	MM HZ-2: Contaminated Soil/Groundwater Contingency Plan.
	MM HZ-2: Contaminated Soil/Groundwater Contingency Plan.	MM HZ-3: Contacting Affected Land Owners Regarding
	MM HZ-3: Contacting Affected Land Owners Regarding	Underground Facilities DigAlert.
	Underground Facilities DigAlert.	MM HZ-4: Fire Control and Emergency Response.
	MM HZ-4: Fire Control and Emergency Response.	

Table ES-1 Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Projects

Resource	Valley–Ivyglen 115-kV Subtransmission Project	Alberhill System Project
Hydrology and Water	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant with Mitigation
Quality	MM HZ-1: Hazardous Materials Management.	MM HZ-1: Hazardous Materials Management.
	MM BR-7: Habitat Restoration and Revegetation Plan	MM BR-7: Habitat Restoration and Revegetation Plan
	Requirements.	Requirements.
	MM BR-15: Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) Best	MM BR-15: Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) Best
	Management Practices (BMPs).	Management Practices (BMPs).
	MM WQ-1: Blasting Plan and Best Management Practices.	MM WQ-1: Blasting Plan and Best Management Practices.
	MM WQ-2: Drainage crossing procedures and practices.	MM WQ-2: Drainage crossing procedures and practices.
	MM WQ-3: Design of access roads with erosion control	MM WQ-3: Design of access roads with erosion control
	measures.	measures.
	MM WQ-4: Disposal of groundwater from dewatering	MM WQ-4: Disposal of groundwater from dewatering
	excavations.	excavations.
	MM WQ-5: Maintain capacity and connectivity of drainages.	MM WQ-5: Maintain capacity and connectivity of drainages.
	MM WQ-6: Avoid impeding MDP implementation and function.	MM WQ-6: Avoid impeding MDP implementation and function.
	MM HZ-4: Fire Control and Emergency Response.	MM WQ-7: Design detention basin to adequate size.
		MM HZ-4: Fire Control and Emergency Response.
Land Use and Planning	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant with Mitigation
	MM BR-6: Oak tree protection measures.	MM BR-2: Preconstruction Surveys.
	MM BR-7: Habitat Restoration and Revegetation Plan	MM BR-3: Biological Monitoring During Construction.
	Requirements.	MM BR-6: Oak tree protection measures.
	MM BR-8: Special Status Plant Avoidance and Mitigation	MM BR-7: Habitat Restoration and Revegetation Plan
	Measures.	Requirements.
	MM BR-11: Migratory Birds and Raptors Impact Reduction	MM BR-8: Special Status Plant Avoidance and Mitigation
	Measures.	Measures.
	MM BR-12: Burrowing Owl Impact Reduction Measures	MM BR-9: Invasive Plant Control Measures.
		MM BR-11: Migratory Birds and Raptors Impact Reduction
		Measures.
		MM BR-12: Burrowing Owl Impact Reduction Measures.
		MM BR-16: Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Take Avoidance within Core
		Reserve

Table ES-1 Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Projects

Resource	Valley–Ivyglen 115-kV Subtransmission Project	Alberhill System Project
Noise and Vibration	Significant	Significant
	MM NV-1: Construction and Maintenance Noise Reduction	MM NV-1: Construction and Maintenance Noise Reduction
	Measures.	Measures.
	MM NV-2: Blasting Vibration Control Measures.	MM NV-3: Low Noise Substation Equipment and Noise Barriers.
		MM NV-4: Corona Noise Reduction Insulators.
Population and Housing	Less than Significant	Less than Significant
Public Services and	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant with Mitigation
Utilities	MM AE-6: Hillside and Natural Slope Preservation	MM AE-6: Hillside and Natural Slope Preservation
	MM BR-1: Limit Construction to Designated Areas and Avoid	MM BR-1: Limit Construction to Designated Areas and Avoid
	Riparian, Aquatic, and Wetland Areas.	Riparian, Aquatic, and Wetland Areas.
	MM BR-15: Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) Best	MM BR-15: Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) Best
	Management Practices (BMPs).	Management Practices (BMPs).
	MM HZ-4: Fire Control and Emergency Response	MM HZ-4: Fire Control and Emergency Response
Recreation	Less than Significant	Less than Significant
Transportation	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant with Mitigation
	MM TT-1: Traffic Management and Control Plan	MM TT-1: Traffic Management and Control Plan
	MM TT-2: Heavy Vehicle Traffic Restrictions.	MM TT-2: Heavy Vehicle Traffic Restrictions.
	MM TT-3: Highway Closure Plan.	MM TT-3: Highway Closure Plan.
	MM TT-4: Helicopter Lift Plan.	MM TT-4: Helicopter Lift Plan.
	MM TT-5. FAA No-Hazard Determination	MM TT-5. FAA No-Hazard Determination
	MM TT-6: Road Damage Repair.	MM TT-6: Road Damage Repair.
	MM TT-7: Emergency Service Provider Notification.	MM TT-7: Emergency Service Provider Notification.
Cumulative	Significant	Significant

 Table ES-1
 Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Projects

The mitigation measures are further detailed in their respective resource sections and in Chapter 9,
 "Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan."

4 5

1

Cumulative Impacts and Other CEQA Considerations

6 7 The CEQA Guidelines require that potential cumulative impacts be assessed by developing either a list of 8 past, present, and probable future projects that would produce related or cumulative effects in 9 combination with the proposed project or a summary of projections contained in adopted general plans or 10 related planning documents. The discussion of cumulative impacts presented in Chapter 6, "Cumulative Impacts and Other CEQA Considerations," of this document describes the potential cumulative impacts 11 12 for each resource area addressed in Chapter 4, "Environmental Analysis." An analysis of whether the 13 proposed projects would result in growth-inducing impacts or significant and irreversible environmental changes is presented in Chapter 7, "Other CEQA Considerations." 14 15 Alternatives 16 17 18 Alternatives to the proposed projects have been identified and evaluated in accordance with CEQA Guidelines. CEQA Guidelines (§15126.6[a]) state: 19 20 21 An EIR shall describe a reasonable range of alternatives to the project, or to the location of 22 the project, which would feasibly attain most of the basic objectives of the project but would 23 avoid or substantially lessen any of the significant effects of the project. 24 25 CEQA Guidelines (§15364) define feasibility as: 26 27capable of being accomplished in a successful manner within a reasonable period of time, 28 taking into account economic, environmental, legal, social, and technological factors. 29 30 Alternatives to the proposed projects were suggested during the scoping period by the public and government agencies after the applicant submitted its applications to the CPUC. Some of the alternatives 31 32 reviewed in this report were presented in SCE's applications and others were identified by the CPUC 33 Energy Division as a result of the agency's independent review. In total, 14 alternatives were identified 34 for the proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project and 33 alternatives were identified for the proposed Alberhill Project (Appendix D, "Alternatives Screening Report"). 35 36 37 The alternatives were evaluated based on a screening process that considered the following criteria: meets 38 the basic objectives of the project, lessens significant impacts, is feasible, and represents a reasonable 39 range of alternatives. Alternatives were eliminated from consideration if they failed to meet these criteria. 40 Six alternatives were retained for further consideration in the EIR for the proposed Valley-Ivyglen

Project and three alternatives were retained for further consideration in the EIR for the proposed Alberhill
 Project. These alternatives are discussed further in Chapter 3, "Description of Alternatives," and Chapter

- 43 5, "Consideration of Alternatives," and include:
- 44

45 Valley–lvyglen Project

- 46 47
- 1. Alternative A <u>Underground along</u> Campbell Ranch Road (115-kV Segment VIG8)
- 48 2. Alternative B1 Underground along Santiago Canyon Road (115-kV Segment VIG8)
- 49 3. Alternative B2 Santiago Canyon Road Underground and Overhead (115-kV Segment VIG8)

1 2	4.	Alternative C - Underground along Temescal Canyon Road and Horsethief Canyon Road (115- kV Segment VIG6)
3	5.	Alternative M - Underground along the Entire Proposed Project Alignment
4	6.	No Project Alternative
5 6 7	Alber	hill Project
7 8	1.	Alternative B - All Gas-Insulated Switchgear at Proposed Substation Site
9	2.	Alternative DD - Serrano Commerce Center Substation Site
10	3.	No Project Alternative
11		
12 13	Majo	r Conclusions of the Draft EIR
13 14 15	The Dr	aft EIR resulted in the following major conclusions:
16 17 18 19	•	Significant Impacts . For the proposed Valley–Ivyglen Project, three significant adverse impacts have been identified. The proposed Valley–Ivyglen Project would result in a significant adverse environmental impact related to Air Quality (Section 4.3), Noise and Vibration (Section 4.11), and Cumulative Impacts (Chapter 6).
20 21 22 23		For the proposed Alberhill Project, four significant adverse impacts have been identified. The proposed Alberhill Project would result in a significant adverse environmental impact related to Aesthetics (Section 4.1), Air Quality (Section 4.3), Noise and Vibration (Section 4.11), and Cumulative Impacts (Chapter 6).
24 25 26 27 28 29	•	-Environmentally Superior Alternative. None of the alternatives identified for either of the projects are considered environmentally superior to the proposed project (Chapter 5). For the Proposed Valley–Ivyglen Project, VIG Alternative C is the Environmentally Superior Alternative. VIG Alternative C would locate a section of 115 kV Segment VIG6 along Temescal Canyon Road (approximately 1 mile) from Love Lane to Horsethief Road and then south on Horsethief Road to De Palma Road would be installed underground in a new conduit.
30 31 32 33		For the Proposed Alberhill Project, ASP Alternative DD is the Environmentally Superior Alternative. ASP Alternative DD would include construction of a 500/115-kV substation, similar to the proposed Alberhill Substation, in an area covered by Riverside County Specific Plan No. 353.
34 35	Draft	Mitigation Monitoring Plan

36

Э

37 A Draft Mitigation Monitoring Plan for the proposed projects is presented in Chapter 9 of this document. 38 A final Mitigation Monitoring Plan will be prepared for the Final EIR that incorporates any changes to the proposed projects or mitigation measures that are made as a result of public review of the Draft EIR 39 and further consideration of the proposed projects by the CPUC. A Mitigation, Monitoring, Reporting, 40 41 and Compliance Program will then be prepared if the CPUC approves the proposed projects.